

# THE WEINGARTEN RULE

An employee's right to representation

## WEINGARTEN RIGHTS

An employee may be represented by the union at an investigatory interview with his or her supervisor when the employee reasonably believes that the interview may lead to a disciplinary action.

U.S. Supreme Court ruling: 420 US 251

The rights of employees to the presence of union representatives during investigatory interviews was announced by the U.S. Supreme Court in 1975 in *NLRB v. J. Weingarten, Inc.* Since that case involved a clerk being investigated by the Weingarten Company, these rights have become known as Weingarten Rights.

What is an investigatory interview?

Employees have Weingarten rights only during investigatory interviews. An investigatory interview occurs when a supervisor questions an employee to obtain information which could be used as a basis for discipline or asks an employee to defend his or her conduct. If an employee has a reasonable belief that discipline or other adverse consequences may result from what he or she says, the employee has a right to request union representation. Investigatory interviews usually relate to subjects such as:

absenteeism	insubordination	lateness
drinking	sabotage	theft
fighting	work performance	violation of work
poor attitude	damage to state property	procedures
violation of safety rules	falsification of records	
accidents		
drugs		

Weingarten rules:

Under the Supreme Court's Weingarten decision, when an investigatory interview occurs, the following rules apply:

### RULE 1

The employee must make a clear request for union representation before or during the interview. The employee cannot be punished for making this request.

### RULE 2

After the employee makes the request, the employer must choose from among three options. The employer must:

- a. Grant the request and delay questioning until the union representative arrives and has a chance to consult privately with the employee; or
- b. Deny the request and end the interview immediately; or
- c. Give the employee a choice of: (1) having the interview without representation or (2) ending the interview.

### RULE 3

If the supervisor denies the request for union representation and continues to ask questions, he or she commits an unfair labor practice and the employee has the right to refuse to answer. The supervisor cannot discipline the employee for such a refusal.

## Rights of union representatives

Supervisors often assert that the only role of a Union representative at an investigatory interview is to observe the discussion, i.e., to be a silent witness. The Supreme Court, however, clearly acknowledged a union representative's right to assist and counsel workers during the interview. Decided cases establish the following procedures:

1. When the union representative arrives, the supervisor must inform the representative of the subject matter of the interview; i.e., the type of conduct for which discipline is being considered (theft, lateness, drugs, etc.).
2. The union representative must be allowed to take the worker aside for a private pre-interview conference before questioning begins.
3. The union representative must be allowed to speak during the interview. The union representative, however, does not have the right to bargain over the purpose of the interview.
4. The union representative can request that the supervisor clarify a question so the worker can understand what is being asked.
5. After a question is asked, the union representative can give advice on how to answer.
6. When the questioning ends, the union representative can provide information to the supervisor.

It must be emphasized that if the Weingarten rights are complied with, union representatives have no right to tell workers not to answer questions or to give false answers.

WebmasterATU19 Copyright © 2005 [Amalgamated Transit Union Local 191. All rights reserved.

Revised: 04/10/08